

# CONCLAVE

## THE FINAL MOVE

On March 14th, the internet was a buzz about a Blood Moon as it was moving into the Ides of March. We reported that this moon was a portend to the deaths of Kings and patriarchs. We also mentioned that it would be an omen for the death of the Pope.

In the ancient times High Priests believed the timing of an eclipse could foretell the fate of kings. It also signaled the impending death of a pope or influential leader.

At the time of our broadcast "Once in a Blood Moon" we were in deep speculation about the fate of Gaza and Israel, and the eventual death of Pope Francis as Dr. Heldore warned "Watch Your "P"s and "Q's -- and now we see that in April the cruelest month a significant leader has died.

The Vatican announced the death of Pope Francis early this morning - the cause of death reportedly was a stroke that led to heart failure and coma.

His death comes a day after he met the US Vice President JD Vance on Easter Sunday at Vatican.

Vance and Pope Francis' brief meeting occurred on Sunday following a lengthy dispute over the US President Donald Trump administration's plans to deport migrants.

Vance was welcomed in one of the reception rooms of the Vatican hotel. In addition to a Vatican tie and rosaries, the pope presented Vance three giant chocolate Easter eggs for his three young kids, who were not present in the meeting.

The online community turned on Vance literally accusing him of killing the Pope asking if he would meet with George Soros and then Valdimir Putin.

The posts on X were ugly and were actually accusing Vance of forcing the Pope to meet with him even though he was in a fragile state.

Pope Francis had been called "the Revolutionary Pope." Before he became Pope Francis, he was a Jesuit Cardinal in Argentina named Jorge Mario Bergoglio.

In Argentina, it was known that he had a cloudy past. He was not above the idea of plotting a good conspiracy against his enemies.

He was appointed as an assistant bishop in Buenos Aires in 1992. Five years later, he became the city's archbishop, and in 2001 was elevated to the College of Cardinals.

The initial promotion was dogged by controversy, which once more remains dark and a subject of cover up. According to rumor, the Superior General of the Jesuits, Hans Peter Kolvenbach, wrote to Pope John Paul II begging him not to make Bergoglio a bishop, allegedly because he had a dark past and done such damage to the Jesuits.

But the Pope persisted. Both the letter and the Bergoglio file mysteriously vanished from the Vatican archives when Bergoglio became Pope, if indeed they ever existed.

What was his dark past and why was it excised from the Vatican?

This is just one of many conspiracy theories to dog Bergoglio. Yet given how murky so much of his life remains, it could easily be true. Certainly, his time in Buenos Aires remains hard to judge. He had the confidence of John Paul II and Benedict XVI-- that was all that was needed.

Bergoglio taught Liberation Theology.

This doctrine, blending Marxist dialectical materialism with Catholic theology, was seen as fatal to the sacramental and transcendental message of the Church. Indeed, one could interpret Bergoglio's ministry as archbishop as an attempt to bring the church in line with Communism.

When it came to personnel, the Bergoglio papacy showed its least attractive and most damaging side. Favouritism, and its opposite, were much in evidence. Certain clerics, who should have been fired for the most obvious faults, particularly with regard to child abuse and sexual immorality, were protected — even against the very people the Pope had commissioned to clean up the Church's act.

Vatican experts have long tried to explain Pope Francis — most of them floundering on whether or not he was conservative or liberal. Perhaps he was a radical; perhaps a Peronist.

In his death, there are still these questions unanswered about his real role during the country's "dirty war." From 1976 to 1983, the Dirty War was a comprehensive campaign aimed at the elimination of Communists and others seen as "subversives."

The communist angle was used to eliminate anyone who was against the government. This would include non catholic religious figures, artists, journalists, actors and singers.

The purge claimed the lives of at least nine thousand people and as many as thirty thousand people, many of them killed in the most gruesome circumstances imaginable. Pregnant women were often held until they gave birth, whereupon they were secretly killed, their babies handed over to childless military families and others close to the regime for adoption.

Many of the victims were held for months in official institutions, where they were repeatedly tortured before being killed, their bodies “disappeared.” Justifying the purge, which was spoken about euphemistically but carried out in secrecy, the Argentine military espoused a brand of anti-Communist ferocity that echoed Franco’s Fascist witch hunt, which had previously devastated Republican Spain—a brand of ferocity that also shared his deeply entrenched ultra-Catholic and anti-Semitic views.

There have been past accusations, including testimony from a handful of priests and bishops, that Pope Francis was complicit, too, if in a more subtle way.

He was, in the early years of the Dirty War, the provincial, or superior, of the Society of Jesus in Argentina, at a time when the Jesuits produced some of the more freethinking and socially liberal clerics in Latin America—a number of whom were targeted by military leaders during the era’s repression and later led a seminary. The key allegation against him is that he pointed out left-leaning priests to the military as dissidents, leaving them exposed, and that he did not defend two kidnapped clerics or ask for their release.

It was the rumor that Bergoglio was well known for his fake humility and his ability to double cross his constituents.

His reign as Pope was a time of great confusion for believing Catholics, though those outside the Church continued to applaud the way he reached out to the marginalized, while handily ignoring his constant baiting of traditional Catholics. In the end, though, little substantive was achieved.

The Holy See has still not shown clear leadership around sexual abuse, even if this has started in the lower rungs of the Church. Ordinary clergy are demoralized and bewildered, and the numbers coming forward to be ordained have declined dramatically.

While the media is offering praise of his service -- it is the dark nature of his past that has people wondering who was wearing the robes as the Vicar of Christ?

An active Pope known for acts of the double cross was coincidentally addressed in the academy award winning movie "Conclave."

In the film, Cardinal Thomas Lawrence (Ralph Fiennes) organizes a conclave to elect the next pope and finds himself investigating secrets and scandals about the major candidates. In this context, Catholics consider the pope to be the apostolic successor of

Saint Peter and the earthly head of the Catholic Church, but in recent years, there have been ideas circulating about regional popes being elected in order to serve under a judge, much like the early Pontifex of Rome - the judge would be equivalent to a King.

When I first watched the film, I realized that it was a bit of predictive programming where the Pope had set up the Vatican for a shake up by exposing his enemies and hand picking his would be Jesuit successors. Ironically some power hungry Cardinals started outing themselves as the Pope was still pointing out corruption from the grave.

In the same fashion, Pope Francis privately told confidants that he knew he was dying and that he had plans to recommend successors and revealing the corruption of those who he disliked.

In a similar coincidence from the film Conclave, Pope Francis been working for years on his succession by nominating an unmatched number of like-minded progressive cardinals, that he knew would be in line with his progressive agenda for the next papal conclave upon his death.

The Pope knew that he had to make some moves on the chess board before he died and so on the eve of Trump's Inauguration, Pope Francis appointed Robert McElroy, a fierce anti-Trump woke Archbishop to Washington, D.C.

This archbishop became a cardinal in August 2022, when Pope Francis created twenty new leftist-leaning cardinals including Robert McElroy of San Diego and in early December 2024, Pope Francis installed another 21 new cardinals, many of whom are key figures in his Leftist reform agenda.

Bergoglio had not at all changed his ways of creating conspiracy as he has nominated 80% of the men who will soon elect his successor, completely rigging the upcoming papal conclave.

The cardinals created by Francis include 163 cardinals from 76 countries, 25 of which have never been represented in the College of Cardinals.

This also happened in the movie Conclave as an unknown cardinal appeared at the Vatican claiming that he was given the responsibility of officiating over Kabul - a predominantly Muslim area of the world.

But get this: Francis who was a Jesuit pope appointed the first Scandinavian cardinal since the Reformation as the Jesuits are now in full control of the protestant churches, the first cardinal from Goa since an episcopal see was established there in 1533, the place that became an important Jesuit stronghold, the first cardinal from Latin America's indigenous peoples who first participated as the Guaraní people to the infamous so-called "socialist theocracy" experiments of the Company of Jesus in Latin America, as

well as the first cardinal from India's Dalit class historically linked to the Jesuits and also the first active head of a religious congregation close to the Society of Jesus.

Just to give you an idea of this Jesuit coup d'état of the Catholic Church headed by the Jesuits, following the 2024 consistory, 133 of the cardinal electors had been appointed by Pope Francis,

With so many new potential popes in the college of the Cardinals, you could see the confusion of those who are the elders of the group.

This was also evident in the film Conclave as the Cardinal from Kabul springs up out of nowhere and somehow wins over the other Cardinals for the papacy.

It is also notable that in the film that two of the favorite choices at first were black men.

Again, art imitates life as there is one cardinal who seems to be a favorite in the next papal conclave, Ghanaian Cardinal Peter Turkson, a loyal friend, and collaborator of both the Jesuits and Professor Klaus Schwab of the World Economic Forum, which news is that now Schwab has stepped down immediately from his post.

Or as the headline reads, Klaus Schwab has stepped down from the WEF with immediate effect.

What is important now is the question is whether the cardinals who choose Francis' successor will read the latest cultural shifts across the West and pick a more traditional pope or continue down the path of progressive inclusiveness.

But those who are not at all interested in the political nature of the Pope and the conclave are looking to old prophecies to see if this Pope will be the last or if the church will go through an abrupt change - there have been so many misunderstood prophecies over the years that it is hard to try and explain them.

Astrologers are claiming that Nostradamus made a prediction about The Pope's death which suggested the Catholic church's standing in the world could be significantly weakened.

Writing in his famed book of prophecies, Nostradamus wrote: 'Through the death of a very old Pontiff / A Roman of good age will be elected / Of him it will be said that he weakens his seat / But long will he sit and in mordant activity.'

Nostradamus also hinted at details of the successor of Pope Francis, writing, 'A young man of dark skin with the help of the great king will deliver the purse to another of red color.'

Again, this points to Peter Turkson, a man of color who has been the favorite of the late Pope Francis.

The prophecies have long been open to interpretation with many claiming they shouldn't be taken too literally. However in light of Pope Francis's death, some have interpreted the words to signify a shift in leadership within the Catholic Church.

There has also been controversy over a prophecy known as the prophecy of Saint Malachy in 1139. The prophecy is the result of a vision an Irish Saint Malachy had and his list of 112 short Latin couplets which are said to describe each of the Roman Catholic popes along with a few anti-popes, beginning with Pope Celestine II, elected in 1143 and concluding with current pope Benedict XVI's successor, a pope described in the prophecy as "Peter the Roman", whose pontificate will end in the destruction of the city of Rome. The prophecy was somehow lost and was rediscovered discovered in 1590.

There is, however something that I am sure was overlooked by most of those who choose to fit prophecy into the present day and that is another catholic prophecy that states that there will be a time in the lineage of the Popes where a White Jesuit Pope and a Black Jesuit Pope will die within a short time of each other thus paving the way for a "GREAT AND TERRIBLE JUDGE" to unite all the churches under an ecumenical umbrella.

The White Pope no longer reigns as pontiff and dies while under the care of the Vatican and soon after a Black Pope named Peter or Petrus Romanus dies and is replaces with a "judge" this will be a signal that the antichrist is in power.

This will inidcate that the throne is empty and that last of the Pope's have already been named one in Francis - the other will be Peter?

The Conclave may surprise us but the gossip over shadows the meeting and the Pope's handpicking of new Cardinals is suspect.